

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,588	03/23/2004	Yuko Ohgishi	09792909-5827	2229
26263	7590 06/30/2006		EXAM	INER
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			SMITH, BRADLEY	
P.O. BOX 061 WACKER DR	080 IVE STATION, SEAR	S TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	L 60606-1080		2891	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,588	OHGISHI, YUKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bradley K. Smith	2891	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	April 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the £	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage	Э
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🛛 Other: <u>sea</u>		

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chapman in view of Furukawa et al. (US 2005/024378). Chapman disclose a plurality of electronic circuit elements formed at parts of the semiconductor substrate for each electrically independent region and including insulating films and electrodes formed on the insulating films, wherein: the insulating films differing in required electrical effective thickness for each electronic circuit element with the same conductivity type (figures 1 and 2). With regards to claim 9 Chapman disclose the transistors have different effective thicknesses. With regards to claim 10 and 11, Chapman discloses the circuit elements being transistors and the electrode could act as capacitors and the oxide could act as a capacitive film. With regards to claims 12 and 13, Chapman discloses the transistors having the same gate thicknesses and different thickness. However, Chapman fails to disclose that the electrodes having different concentrations of impurities for each circuit element with the same conductivity type. Furukawa et al. disclose doping the gate electrode (0006). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings

Art Unit: 2891

of Chapman and Furukawa because the doping of the gate electrode would alleviate carrier depletion (see paragraph 0006).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 2891

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bradley K Smith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2891